

CITY OF PORT ANGELES
DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

Project Description: The City of Port Angeles has prepared draft amendments to the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) that are intended to keep the SMP current with changes in state law, changes in City plans and regulations, and other changed local circumstances. These amendments were developed by the City to comply with WAC 173-26-090, which requires all local governments to review their SMP on an eight-year schedule set in state law and revise it if necessary.

APPLICANT: City of Port Angeles

Location of Proposal: City limits of City of Port Angeles

Lead Agency: City of Port Angeles

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

X This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 30 days from March 1, 2021. Comments must be submitted by **March 30, 2021** the DNS may be retained, modified, or withdrawn.

There is no comment period for this DNS.

This DNS is issued per WAC 197-11-355. There is no further comment period.

February 26, 2021
Date



Emma Bolin, Acting SEPA Official

You may appeal this determination to the Port Angeles City Council through the Department of Community and Economic Development, 321 East 5th Street, Port Angeles, WA 98362, ced@cityofpa.us, by submitting such written appeal no later than 14 days from the date of this DNS. You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

Responsible Official: Emma Bolin

Position: Community Development Manager, Community and Economic Development Department,

Address: 321 East 5th Street, Port Angeles, WA 98362

Email: ebolin@cityofpa.us

Phone: (360) 417 – 4752



CITY OF PORT ANGELES ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

I have attached a SEPA Cover Page

Purpose of Checklist:

Chapter 43.21C RCW the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. The purpose of this review is to provide information to help you and the reviewing agency identify impacts that may result from your proposal and to identify methods to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal if they exist. The review will help the agency decide whether further information is necessary or whether an environmental impact statement (EIS) is necessary.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Answer each question to the best of your knowledge with the most precise information known. Provide the best descriptions you can. In most cases you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply (N/A)". Complete answers to the questions will avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems please ask the City Department of Community & Economic Development employees to assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to phase them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. If phasing is proposed, please provide that information in Item 11, the description section. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects and include a site map.

FEES: Standard: \$500.00 / Administrative Applications: \$125.00

BACKGROUND

1) Name of project: *Port Angeles Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Periodic Review*

Address or general location of site: *City of Port Angeles shorelines*

2) Applicant:

Name: *City of Port Angeles*

Address: *321 East 5th Street, Port Angeles, WA 98362*

Phone number: *360-457-0411* E-mail:

3) Contact Person (If different than Applicant)

Name: *Emma Bolin, Community Development Manager*

Address: *321 East 5th Street, Port Angeles, WA 98362*

Phone number: 360-417-4752 E-mail: ebolin@cityofpa.us

Date checklist prepared: 2/8/2021

4) Agency requesting checklist: **CITY OF PORT ANGELES**

5) Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are expected to be adopted by the City Council by the end of June 2021.

a. What is the long term objective of this proposal?

The long-term objective of this proposal is to complete the periodic review of the City's SMP, as required by the Washington State Shoreline Management Act.

b. How does this project relate to long-term plans?

Long-term plans include updating the SMP every eight years, as required by the Washington State Shoreline Management Act.

6) Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

Further activity includes updating the SMP every eight years, as required by the Washington State Shoreline Management Act. Site-specific developments within the City's shoreline jurisdiction will be reviewed for compliance with the SMP at the time of application.

7) List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal:

Environmental information prepared as part of the periodic review of the City's SMP includes the City of Port Angeles SMP Periodic Review Draft Gap Analysis. This report, as well as environmental information prepared as part of the comprehensive SMP amendment in 2014, is available on the City's SMP web page at <https://www.cityofpa.us/141/Shoreline-Master-Program>

8) Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal?

If yes, explain.

Applications for site-specific development within the City's shoreline jurisdiction may be pending. If such developments are vested, amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP will not apply.

9) List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP must be adopted by the City Council and approved by the Washington State Department of Ecology.

10) Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

This non-project proposal includes proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP, which the City is required to complete in 2021 under the Washington State Shoreline Management Act.

11) Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. (answer on next page)

This non-project proposal applies within the City's shoreline jurisdiction. In Port Angeles, shoreline jurisdiction includes the waters of Port Angeles Harbor, the Strait of Juan de Fuca (north to the international boundary) and tidally influenced portions of Valley, Tumwater, Peabody and Ennis Creeks. Additionally, shoreline jurisdiction generally includes uplands within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of those waters and associated wetlands. Uplands in shoreline jurisdiction are shown in magenta in the figure below; an associated wetland is shown in green.



PROJECT SPECIFIC ACTIONS:

Complete this section if your proposal involves a project specific action such as a subdivision, new construction, a new or expanding business, a site specific rezone (not area-wide), a conditional use permit, a shoreline permit, or similar action:

N/A, non-project specific action.

ENVIRONMENT:

1. EARTH

A. General description of the site (Check one):

Flat Rolling Hilly Steep Slopes Mountainous Other

B. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

C. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

D. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity?

Yes No If yes, describe:

E. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any Filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill:

F. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use?

Yes No

If yes, generally describe:

G. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

H. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

2. AIR

A. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

B. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal?

Yes No If yes, describe:

C. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

3. WATER

A. Surface:

i. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)?

Yes No If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

ii. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? Yes No If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

iii. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material:

iv. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Yes No Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

v. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? Yes No
If yes, note location on the site plan.

vi. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

B. Ground:

i. Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Yes No Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

ii. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the Ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing chemicals; agricultural wastes; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be

served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

C. Water Runoff (including storm water):

i. Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will the water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

ii. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters?

Yes No

If so, generally describe how and what.

D. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

4. PLANTS

A. Check the type of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other:
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other:
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage,
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other:
- Other types of vegetation:

B. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

C. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any.

5. ANIMALS

A. Check any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Birds: hawk heron eagle songbirds other:

Mammals: deer bear elk beaver other

Fish: bass salmon trout herring shellfish other

B. Threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site. (please identify):

C. Is the site part of a migration route? Yes No

If so, explain.

D. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife.

6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

A. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

B. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?

Yes No

If so, generally describe.

C. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any.

7. ENVIRONMENT HEALTH

1. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

i. Describe special emergency services that might be required.

ii. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any.

2. NOISE

i. What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

ii. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

iii. Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

- A. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?
- B. Has the site been used for agriculture? Yes No If so, describe.
- C. Describe any structures on the site.
- D. Will any structures be demolished? Yes No If so, what?
- E. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
- F. What is the current Comprehensive Plan designation of the site?
- G. What is the current Shoreline Master Program designation of the site?
- H. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area?
Yes No If so, specify.
- I. How many people would reside or work in the completed project?
- J. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
- K. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
- L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

9. HOUSING

- M. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any?
Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
- N. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated?
Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
- O. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any.

10. AESTHETICS

1. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

2. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

3. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any.

11. LIGHT AND GLARE

A. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

B. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

C. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

D. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any.

12. RECREATION

A. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

B. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses?

Yes No If so, describe.

C. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any.

13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

A. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? Yes No

If so, generally describe:

B. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

C. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

14. TRANSPORTATION

A. Identify public streets and highways serving the site and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

B. Is site currently served by public transit? Yes No If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

C. How many parking spaces would the completed project have?

How many would the project eliminate?

D. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate either public or private).

E. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? Yes No If so, generally describe.

F. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project?

(#) If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur(dates/times).

G. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any.

15. PUBLIC SERVICES

A. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example?: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? Yes No

If so, generally describe.

- B. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

16. UTILITIES

- A. Check any utilities currently available at the site:

electricity natural gas water refuse service telephone sanitary sewer septic system other :

- B. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

17. ECONOMICS

- A. If the proposal will result in expansion of an existing business, please describe the nature of the expansion: (e.g., additional land and/or buildings, new equipment, new employees).

- B. If the proposal is the creation of a new business, please describe (e.g., re-use of an existing building and site, construction of a new building).

- C. Describe if the proposal is the first of its type in the community, or what the similar uses are.

- D. How many people will the proposal provide employment for at its completion and what types of jobs will be created (e.g., sales clerks, factory workers, etc.)?

(Jobs created by the construction of the proposal should be reported separately.)

- E. Where will the materials, goods or services utilized by the proposal come from?

- F. Where will the goods or services produced by the proposal be utilized?

- G. Who will utilize the goods or services produced by the proposal?

- H. Will the proposal alter the tax assessments of the area?

Endangered Species Act (ESA)

Supplemental Checklist

Answer to the best of your knowledge.

This checklist was developed to help project proponents and government agencies identify when a project needs further analysis regarding potential adverse effects on Endangered Species as required by the Endangered Species Act (ESA). For our purposes, "ESA listed species" are any species listed as endangered, threatened, or being considered for listing.

This supplemental checklist is for all development within ESA Potential Impact Areas, which include the following locations:

- Federal Emergency Management Act (FEMA) designated floodplain and/or floodway areas;
- Riparian Buffer Zones (RBZ) as described by the Dept of Natural Resources 2007 stream typing system and WDFW's 1997 stream buffer guidelines; and/or
- Channel Migration Zones (CMZ) plus 50' as identified according to Dept of Ecology 2003).

If ESA listed species are present or ever were present within the ESA Potential Impact Area where your project will be located, your project has the potential for affecting them, and you must comply with the ESA. The questions in this section will help determine if your proposed project could have an impact.

The Port Angeles regional watershed is an area where several endangered species have historically been present. Please answer the following questions to the best of your knowledge to assist the city in determining if your project will have adverse impacts to ESA species or their habitats.

Port Angeles Community and Economic Development Department staff can provide technical assistance in answering the following questions in this checklist. If necessary, the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) regional office can also provide information to help you answer these questions.

PROJECT SPECIFICS: The questions in this section are specific to the project and vicinity. *N/A, non-project specific action.*

1. Do you know of any endangered species or WDFW priority species on or in the vicinity of your project? Yes No

If yes, identify those species:

2. Name of waterbody nearest to your project:

3. What is the distance from your project to the nearest body of water?

Often a buffer between the project and a stream can reduce the chance of a negative impact to fish.

4. What is the current land use adjacent to the potentially affected water body (*developed including commercial, parking lots, residential, paved and/or graveled surfaces, agriculture, forestry, etc*)?

5. What is the predominant vegetative cover between the project and the potentially affected water body (*dense forest, woodland, scrub, grasses, etc*)?

6. Is the project above a barrier to fish passage:

- natural permanent barrier (waterfall): Yes No
- natural temporary barrier (beaver pond): Yes No
- human-made barrier (culvert, dam): Yes No
- other: Yes No If yes, explain:

If you answered yes to the questions above, describe the barrier and source of information:

7. If you answered yes to question 7 above, are there any resident salmonid populations above the blockage? Yes No Don't know

8. Percent of the project as impervious surface (includes pavement & roof area)? *N/A, this is a non-project proposal.*

FISH MIGRATION: The questions in this section will help determine if this project could interfere with the migration of adult and juvenile fish. (Both increases and decreases in water flows can affect fish migration.)

1. Does the project require the withdrawal of:

i. Surface water? Yes No

Amount

Name of surface water body

ii. Ground water? Yes No

Amount

From where

Depth of well

(If you answered yes to any of the above questions, you will need to contact the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and the Washington Department of Ecology to obtain appropriate approvals)

2. Will any water be rerouted? Yes No

If yes, will this require a channel relocation? Yes No

Please describe:

3. Will there be retention or detention ponds?

Yes No

If you answer yes, will this be an infiltration pond or a surface discharge to either a municipal storm water system or a surface water body?

Yes No

If you answer "yes" to a surface water discharge, please give the name of the waterbody that will receive the discharge:

4. Will this project require the building of any temporary or permanent roads?

Yes No *(Increased road distance may affect the timing of water reaching a stream and may impact fish habitat.)*

5. Are any new or replacement culverts or bridges proposed as part of this project? Yes No

6. Will topography changes affect the duration/direction of runoff flows?

Yes No If yes, describe the changes:

7. Will the project involve any placement of fill within the ESA Potential Impact Area? Yes No

If you answered yes, describe expected impacts on flood storage and/or flood conveyance and how these impacts will either be avoided or mitigated:

WATER QUALITY: The questions in this section will help determine if this project could adversely impact water quality for either surface or groundwater. Such impacts can cause problems for listed species. (Water quality can be made worse by runoff from impervious surfaces, altering water temperature, discharging contaminants, etc.)

1. Do you know of any problems with water quality in any of the streams within ESA Potential Impact Areas? Yes No

(Information on impaired water bodies can be obtained from Washington Department of Ecology)

If you answered yes, describe:

2. Will your project either reduce or increase shade along or over a waterbody?

Yes No *(Removal of shading vegetation or the building of structures such as docks or floats often result in a change in shade).*

If you answered yes, please describe:

Will the project introduce any nutrients or other contaminants (fertilizers, other waste discharges, or storm water runoff) to the waterbody? Yes No

3. Will turbidity be introduced to a water body by construction of the project or during operation of the project? Yes No

(In-water or near water work will often increase turbidity.) If you answered yes, consult with Washington Department of Ecology to ensure compliance with water quality regulations.

4. Will your project require long term maintenance that could affect water quality in the future, e.g., bridge cleaning, highway salting, chemical sprays for vegetation management, clearing of parking lots? Yes No If yes, please describe:

VEGETATION: The following questions are designed to determine if the project will affect riparian vegetation, thereby, adversely impacting salmon.

1. Will the project involve the removal of any vegetation from the stream banks?

Yes No If you answered yes, please describe the existing conditions, and the amount and type of vegetation to be removed:

If any vegetation is removed from a riparian area, a mitigation plan will be required, please provide a copy of the plan if available. Describe briefly what your proposed mitigation would consist of:

NOTE: Most applicants should have the information necessary to answer most of the questions in this checklist. Additional information will need to be obtained from local and state agencies if it appears that the project is likely to affect ESA listed species.

RESOURCE AGENCIES:

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Website

<http://wdfw.wa.gov/>

This site has useful information on fish habitat.

Washington Department of Ecology Website

www.ecy.wa.gov

National Marine Fisheries Services Website

Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) maps can be found at

www.nwr.noaa.gov

NON-PROJECT SPECIFIC ACTIONS:

Complete this section **only if your proposal involves a non-project specific action** such as a Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Zoning Code Amendment, area-wide rezone (City-wide or large sub-area), or other similar action:

When answering these questions be aware of to what extent the proposal or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are not anticipated to increase any of the above. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases:

No such measures are needed.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP may beneficially affect plants, animals, fish or marine life. The proposed amendments will update the SMP to include the most recent Citywide critical areas regulations (with some modifications as needed for integration into the SMP). Moreover, the SMP includes additional updates to wetland regulations for compliance with recent state guidance.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life:

No such measures are needed.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are not anticipated to affect the depletion of energy or natural resources. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to conserve energy and natural resources:

No such measures are needed.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP may beneficially affect environmentally sensitive areas. The proposed amendments will update the SMP to include the most recent Citywide critical areas regulations (with some modifications as needed for integration into the

SMP). Moreover, the SMP includes additional updates to wetland regulations for compliance with recent state guidance.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts:

No such measures are needed.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP would not alter the land and shoreline uses allowed under existing City plans and development regulations. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreland and land use impacts:

No such measures are needed.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are not anticipated to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s):

No such measures are needed.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

This non-project proposal includes amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP, which the City is required to complete under the Washington State Shoreline Management Act. Aims of the periodic review include keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and changes to local plans and regulations.

By affixing my signature hereto, I/we certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the information furnished herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that I am the owner of the premises where the work is to be performed or am acting as the owner's authorized agent. I further agree to hold harmless the City of Port Angeles as to any claim (including costs, expenses and attorney's fees incurred in the investigation of such claim) which may be made by any person, including the undersigned, and filed against the City of Port Angeles, but only where such claim arises out of the reliance of the City, including its officers and employees, upon the accuracy of the information provided to the City as a part of this application.

I further agree that City of Port Angeles staff may enter upon the subject property at any reasonable time to consider the merits of the application, to take photographs and to post public notices.

SIGNED: Emma Bolin

NAME: Emma Bolin _____

DATE: February 25, 2021 _____

DATE: _____